Typed or printed name

Signature



Date

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number

Onderine Paperwork Reduction Account	Application Number	10/600.904
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	6-20-2003
FORM	First Named Inventor	Robert Sigurd Nelson
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit	2882
	Examiner Name	Irakli Kiknadze
This Submission 3	Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Remarks Signature of and Inventor added To Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):	
	ATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name Robert Signature Date Comparison	Sigurd Nelson Sigurd Millor 1005 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING	
	being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with the United	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and any other things the complete this form and the USPTO.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Appl. No. : 10/600,904

Applicants: Robert Sigurd Nelson, William Bert Nelson

Filing Date : June 20, 2003 Examiner : Irakli Kiknadze

Art Unit : 2882

Title : DEVICE AND SYSTEM FOR IMPROVED IMAGING IN NUCLEAR

MEDICINE AND MAMMOGRAPHY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

October 3, 2005

Dear Mr. Kiknadze:

In response to the Office Action post marked September 9, 2004, please see the following remarks for application 10/600,904. In response to the Office Action of 9/29/2005 the signature of the second inventor is included.

REMARKS

In the office action post marked September 9, 2004 the Examiner rejected claims 57-59 based on Walters.

Applicants will address first the rejection of claim 57 as being anticipated by Walters. Walters describes a dual-energy CT systems which is based on obtaining two arrays of data values representative of beam attenuation at two different energy levels. The two distinct (high and low) energy levels S_1 and S_2 are the result of two different x-ray tube voltage levels (high and low KVPs such as 120 KVP and 70 KVP). That is, two distinctly different, broad bandwidth x-ray spectra. Furthermore the data values are in the form of analog signals that are proportional to the detected beam intensities. See Col. 13: lines 56-68, col. 14: 1-12. The data are combined from the two scans to synthesize two images (photoelectric and Compton or equivalently bone and tissue). The calibration procedure Walters refers to in col. 10: lines 16-34 requires the development of a table of photoelectric and Compton values in terms of intensities I_1 and the ratio I_2/I_1 . Walters performs air scan at two energy (KVP or tube voltage levels) to develop high and low energy beam profiles. These are broad bandwidth x-ray beam spectra and the detectors are analog (integrators). There is no energy resolution!

Walters has described a means of calibrating a CT detector using two different (High and Low KVP) x-ray beams for dual energy imaging. The CT detector is analog and therefore lacks energy resolution for individual photons. The analog signals are proportional to the intensities of the x-ray beams and energy distributions that reach the